



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. DOX 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/743,975	07/03/2001	Christian Dogl	417202000100	1401	
7	590 06/19/2003				
Stephen C Durant			EXAMINER		
Morrison & Fo 425 Market Str	eet		NGUYEN	N, LE V	
San Francisco,	CA 94105-2482		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2174	7	
			DATE MAILED: 06/19/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,				ppe				
	Application No.		Applicant(s)	<u> </u>				
	09/743,975		DOGL ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	Le Nguyen		2174					
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is non-fi	nal.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers		×.						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine			-:					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)		(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (P					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office								

Art Unit: 2174

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.
- 2. The spacing of the lines of the specification is such as to make reading and entry of amendments difficult. New application papers with lines double spaced on good quality paper are required.
- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
- a) missing appropriate title headings such as Abstract, Summary of the Invention, Brief Description of the Drawings, etc.; and
- b) superfluous translation of the figures; the translation pages need to be removed.

 Appropriate correction is required.
- 4. Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

Art Unit: 2174

- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

5. Content of Specification

- (a) <u>Title of the Invention</u>: See 37 CFR 1.72(a) and MPEP § 606. The title of the invention should be placed at the top of the first page of the specification unless the title is provided in an application data shet. The title of the invention should be brief but technically accurate and descriptive, preferably from two to seven words may not contain more than 500 characters.
- (b) <u>Cross-References to Related Applications</u>: See 37 CFR 1.78 and MPEP § 201.11.
- (c) <u>Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research and Development:</u> See MPEP § 310.
- Incorporation-By-Reference Of Material Submitted On a Compact Disc:
 The specification is required to include an incorporation-by-reference of electronic documents that are to become part of the permanent United States Patent and Trademark Office records in the file of a patent application. See 37 CFR 1.52(e) and MPEP § 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text were permitted as electronic documents on compact discs beginning on September 8, 2000.

Or alternatively, <u>Reference to a "Microfiche Appendix</u>": See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.

Art Unit: 2174

(e) <u>Background of the Invention</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(c). The specification should set forth the Background of the Invention in two parts:

- (1) <u>Field of the Invention</u>: A statement of the field of art to which the invention pertains. This statement may include a paraphrasing of the applicable U.S. patent classification definitions of the subject matter of the claimed invention. This item may also be titled "Technical Field."
- (2) Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 37 CFR 1.98: A description of the related art known to the applicant and including, if applicable, references to specific related art and problems involved in the prior art which are solved by the applicant's invention. This item may also be titled "Background Art."
- (f) Brief Summary of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(d). A brief summary or general statement of the invention as set forth in 37 CFR 1.73. The summary is separate and distinct from the abstract and is directed toward the invention rather than the disclosure as a whole. The summary may point out the advantages of the invention or how it solves problems previously existent in the prior art (and preferably indicated in the Background of the Invention). In chemical cases it should point out in general terms the utility of the invention. If possible, the nature and gist of the invention or the inventive concept should be set forth. Objects of the invention should be treated briefly and only to the extent that they contribute to an understanding of the invention.
- (g) <u>Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s)</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A reference to and brief description of the drawing(s) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.74.
- (h) Detailed Description of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(g). A description of the preferred embodiment(s) of the invention as required in 37 CFR 1.71. The description should be as short and specific as is necessary to describe the invention adequately and accurately. Where elements or groups of elements, compounds, and processes, which are conventional and generally widely known in the field of the invention described and their exact nature or type is not necessary for an understanding and use of the invention by a person skilled in the art, they should not be described in detail. However, where particularly complicated subject matter is involved or where the elements, compounds, or processes may not be commonly or widely known in the field, the specification should refer to another patent or readily available publication which adequately describes the subject matter.

Art Unit: 2174

(i) Claim or Claims: See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(m). The claim or claims must commence on separate sheet (37 CFR 1.52(b)). Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation. There may be plural indentations to further segregate subcombinations or related steps. See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(i)-(p).

- (j) Abstract of the Disclosure: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A brief narrative of the disclosure as a whole in a single paragraph of 150 words or less commencing on a separate sheet following the claims. In an international application which has entered the national stage (37 CFR 1.491(b)), the applicant need not submit an abstract commencing on a separate sheet if an abstract was published with the international application under PCT Article 21. The abstract that appears on the cover page of the pamphlet published by the International Bureau (IB) of the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) is the abstract that will be used by the USPTO. See MPEP § 1893.03(e).
- (k) Sequence Listing. See 37 CFR 1.821-1.825 and MPEP §§ 2421-2431. The requirement for a sequence listing applies to all sequences disclosed in a given application, whether the sequences are claimed or not. See MPEP § 2421.02.
- 6. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Objections

- 7. Claims 4-9 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claims. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.
- 8. Claims 2 and 9 are objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "that search criterion" needs to be changed to "a corresponding search criterion" in line

Art Unit: 2174

20 of claim 2 and "that text passage" needs to be changed to "a corresponding text passage" in line 21 of claim 9. Appropriate correction is required.

- 9. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 14, the phrase "being shown adjacent the correspond radial line" needs to be changed to "being shown adjacent to the corresponding radial line"
- 10. Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 14, the phrase "the fact" needs to be deleted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 11. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 12. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitations "the display of text passages" in line 5, "said usual representations of the text passages" in line 11, "the usual representation of the combination of the search criteria" in lines 11-12 and "the usual representation of the combination of the search criteria" in lines 12-13 and "the visual representation of the individual text passages" in lines 13-14. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "the display of text passages" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 2174

Claim 4 recites the limitation "the corresponding radial line" in line 31. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 1 and 6 recite the limitation "the solution" in line 1 and line 6 respectively.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 8 recites the limitations "the visual representation" in line 16 and "the respective *superior* criterion" in line 16.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claims.

13. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The use of "usual" such as in claim 1 is vague and indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 15. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jain et al. ("Jain", US 6,121,969) in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art.

As per claim 1, Jain teaches a method for displaying search results relevant to a solution of a task by using a screen and at least one data memory for electronically stored text passages; wherein upon entering a combination of search criteria (B, S, T) and of the search results (bit, fff), relevant to such combinations are displayed on the screen, the

Art Unit: 2174

visual representation of the combination of search criteria (B, S, T) being displayed in the center of the screen (col. 11, lines 20-21) and said usual representations of the search results being arranged on the screen concentrically around the usual representation of the combination of the search criteria (B, S, T), with the radial distances of the visual representation of the individual search results (bit, fff) from the visual representation of the combination of search criteria (B, S, T) showing their relevance to the combination of the search criteria (col. 9, lines 35-41; col. 8, lines 63-67). Although Jain's information retrieval system comprising search criteria with corresponding search results wherein the search results are of visual records or database records of any type (col. 10, lines 59-62), Jain does not explicitly disclose the database records to be text passages. However, Applicant's Admitted Prior Art discloses an information retrieval system comprising search criteria with corresponding search results wherein the search results are of text passages (page 1, line 25-29). Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to include the method of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art wherein the search results of a search criteria are of text passages in an information retrieval system to the method of Jain wherein the search results of a search criteria are of visual records in an information retrieval system in order to provide users with greater search capabilities concerning the various kind of data to be searched.

As per claim 2, the modified Jain teaches a method for displaying search results relevant to a solution of a task by using a screen and at least one data memory for electronically stored text passages, wherein sectors of the screen are attributed to the individual search criteria (B, S, T), with the visual representations of individual text

Art Unit: 2174

passage (bit, fff) being displayed on the screen in the sectors of that search criterion (B, S, T) for which they are most relevant (Jain: col. 8, lines 63-67).

As per claim 3/1, the modified Jain teaches a method for displaying search results relevant to a solution of a task by using a screen and at least one data memory for electronically stored text passages, wherein the visual representations of the passages (bit, fff) are displayed on the screen in two or three dimensions (Jain: col. 11, lines 17-29; 3-D display presented on a 2-D viewing screen).

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Ackermann et al. (US 6,211,876 B1) teach a method and system for displaying icons representing information items stored in a database.

Sciammarella et al. (US 5,982,369) teach a method for displaying on a screen of a computer system images representing search results.

Hoppe et al. (US 5,515,488) teach a method and apparatus for concurrent graphical visualization of a database search and its search history.

Hearst et al. (US 6,297,824 B1) teach an interactive interface for viewing retrieval results.

Sciammarella et al. (US 5,886,698) teach a method for filtering search results with a graphical squeegee.

Sciammarella et al. (US 5,912,668) teach controlling a screen display of a group of images represented by a graphical object.

Art Unit: 2174

Inquires

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lê Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-7601. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 5:30 am to 2:00 pm (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid, can be reached on (703) 308-0640.

The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

(703) 746-7238 [After Final Communication]

(703) 746-7239 [Official Communication]

(703) 746-7240 [For status inquiries, Draft Communication]

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Lê Nguyen Patent Examiner June 16, 2003 Wustine Kincaid

KRISTINE KINCAID

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100